

**CASE REPORT****PHYSICAL ANTHROPOLOGY**

*Camilla F. Speller,<sup>1</sup> Ph.D.; Kirsty L. Spalding,<sup>2</sup> Ph.D.; Bruce A. Buchholz,<sup>3</sup> Ph.D.;  
Dean Hildebrand,<sup>4</sup> Ph.D.; Jason Moore,<sup>1,4</sup> B.Sc.; Rolf Mathewes,<sup>5</sup> Ph.D.;  
Mark F. Skinner,<sup>1</sup> Ph.D.; and Dongya Y. Yang,<sup>1</sup> Ph.D.*

## Personal Identification of Cold Case Remains Through Combined Contribution from Anthropological, mtDNA, and Bomb-Pulse Dating Analyses\*

**ABSTRACT:** In 1968, a child's cranium was recovered from the banks of a northern Canadian river and held in a trust until the "cold case" was reopened in 2005. The cranium underwent reanalysis at the Centre for Forensic Research, Simon Fraser University, using recently developed anthropological analysis, "bomb-pulse" radiocarbon analysis, and forensic DNA techniques. Craniometrics, skeletal ossification, and dental formation indicated an age-at-death of  $4.4 \pm 1$  year. Radiocarbon analysis of enamel from two teeth indicated a year of birth between 1958 and 1962. Forensic DNA analysis indicated the child was a male, and the obtained mitochondrial profile matched a living maternal relative to the presumed missing child. These multidisciplinary analyses resulted in a legal identification 41 years after the discovery of the remains, highlighting the enormous potential of combining radiocarbon analysis with anthropological and mtDNA analyses in producing confident personal identifications for forensic cold cases dating to within the last 60 years.

**KEYWORDS:** forensic science, forensic anthropology, mitochondrial DNA, ancient DNA, short tandem repeat, cold case, bomb-pulse, dental enamel, accelerator mass spectrometry, interdisciplinary

In 1968, a hunter found a partial cranium on the banks of a river in northern Canada. The cranium had initially been linked to a 4-year-old boy who had been presumed drowned in the river in 1965. An anthropological assessment conducted in 1969 precluded a match with the missing child because of an error concerning age-at-death. The partial cranium had an erupted upper right second deciduous molar, which was mistakenly identified as a permanent first molar, resulting in a gross overestimation of age-at-death (i.e., a child of 7–9 years). On the basis of this error, the anthropologist concluded that "we are inclined to the belief that the cranium which we have examined is not part of the skeletal system of the missing child" (anonymized letter April 2, 1969, to Royal Canadian Mounted Police).

For more than 35 years, the remains were held in trust by a local museum until new techniques would be available to identify the child and repatriate the remains. In 2005, the remains were submitted to the Ancient DNA Laboratory, Department of Archaeology, Simon Fraser University (SFU) for multidisciplinary scientific analysis in a renewed attempt to identify the child. Our analyses were deliberately conducted without the background knowledge of the case as provided above. Our aim was to see whether any mtDNA existed in the hard tissues, and if so, to advise the authorities of the feasibility of seeking surviving family members for further testing. The anthropological assessment of the hard tissues was also repeated, and associated botanical materials were analyzed for the evidence as to geographic origin and seasonality. Given the elapsed time since death, we further sought to determine whether the application of the new technique of bomb-pulse dating might help determine when the child had been born and provide further indirect evidence of identity.

### Materials and Methods

#### *Anthropological Analysis*

The remains included an incomplete, dry skeletonized cranium with one remaining erupted tooth (5-5, FDI nomenclature) and several unerupted, forming permanent teeth from the upper right maxilla. There was postmortem rodent gnawing on many edges of the cranium. The primary means of analysis was visual inspection. Craniometrics were recorded using standard equipment, and photographs were taken of the cranium and adherent

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Forensic Research, Department of Archaeology, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada.

<sup>2</sup>Departments of Cell and Molecular Biology, Karolinska Institute, Berzelius väg 35, Stockholm 171-77, Sweden.

<sup>3</sup>Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94551.

<sup>4</sup>Centre for Forensic & Security Technology Studies, British Columbia Institute of Technology, 3700 Willingdon Avenue, Burnaby, BC V5G 3H2, Canada.

<sup>5</sup>Centre for Forensic Research, Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6, Canada.

\*Supported in part by research grants including Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada's RDI fund, SFU Discovery Park Fund, and the Swedish Research Council.

Received 24 Feb. 2010; and in revised form 23 April 2011; accepted 4 May 2011.

plant matter using a Nikon D100 camera (Nikon Inc., Melville, NY) and a Keyence VHX-100 Digital Microscope (Keyence Corporation of America, Itasca, IL). Methods for age-at-death estimation included dental formation, skeletal ossification, and circumference of the cranium. Radiographs were taken of the developing dentition using a H.G. Fischer model FP200 portable X-ray unit (H.G. Fischer Company, Chicago, IL) at SFU to estimate age-at-death. We used standards of tooth formation for both native (1) and nonnative children as both biological populations are represented among missing persons in northern Canada (2). Plant matter inside the neurocranium was observed and removed for the identification in an effort to determine the season and location of deposition. This material consisted of a leaf, petioles, and buds (Fig. 1).

#### Radiocarbon Analysis

Recently, radiocarbon analysis of tooth enamel has proved to be a remarkably accurate indicator of year of birth for forensic cases dating to within the last 60 years (3–6). Above-ground nuclear weapons testing between 1955 and 1963 doubled the natural amount of radiocarbon ( $^{14}\text{C}$ ) in the atmosphere, which has since declined after the test-ban treaty in 1963 (7–11). Because living organisms naturally incorporate atmospheric carbon atoms into their tissues through the food chain, the bomb-pulse dating techniques can measure the amount of  $^{14}\text{C}$  in tooth enamel to indicate what year the tooth was formed, providing that the individual was born within the last 65 years (3–6). Typically, enamel from two teeth formed during different years are analyzed to distinguish whether the individual was born before or after the peak of the bomb tests, that is, to distinguish

whether the  $^{14}\text{C}$  measurement relates to the rising or falling part of the bomb-pulse curve.

Two teeth from the cranium (5-5, deciduous upper second molar and 1-5, permanent successional second upper premolar) were prepared at the Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Stockholm, Sweden, and radiocarbon dated at the Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California. The methods for enamel preparation and accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) sample measurement and analysis are described in Buchholz et al. (3) and Spalding et al. (4) with slight adjustments to the scientific protocol to address the problem of incomplete mineralization of the upper right second premolar crown in this young child. Enamel purification by sonication in 10 N NaOH was reduced from 3 to 5 days to 15 min. All other procedures were as described previously. The acid pretreatment to remove the outer surface of the enamel exposed to the base used for enamel purification was also adjusted because of the softer enamel in the deciduous tooth and incomplete mineralization of the permanent tooth. Pretreatment used 0.25 N HCl rather than 1.0 N HCl for both teeth, and exposure was reduced to 20 min. All other AMS procedures were as described in the study by Buchholz et al. (3).

#### DNA Analyses

The hard tissue samples were analyzed in the Ancient DNA Laboratory in the Department of Archaeology at SFU using a modified silica spin column method for the retrieval of degraded DNA (12). The Ancient DNA Laboratory is specifically designed for and dedicated to ancient DNA analysis, and follows strict contamination control protocols including the use of protective clothing and the separation of the pre- and post-PCR work. DNA was extracted from two unerupted teeth (CC1: permanent upper first premolar [1–4] and CC2: permanent first upper molar [1–6]) as well as a 2.41-g portion of the skull (CC7: right occipital squama). The tooth and bone samples underwent a rigorous chemical decontamination process recommended for forensic and ancient DNA samples (13–15). The samples were immersed in 100% commercial bleach (6% sodium hypochlorite) for 7 min to remove surface contamination. The samples were then immersed in 1 N HCl for 1 min and 1 N NaOH for 1 min, rinsed in UltraPure™ DNase-/RNase-free distilled water (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) followed by UV irradiation for 60 min. The tooth and occipital bone samples were crushed into powder using a cryogenic mill. The resulting powder from each tooth and the 2.41 g of occipital bone were incubated overnight at 50°C in 3–5 mL of lysis buffer (0.5M EDTA, 0.25% SDS, and 2.0 mg/mL proteinase K) in three separate 15-mL tubes. After centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to an Amicon centrifugal filter device, Ultra-4 (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The extract was concentrated to less than 100  $\mu\text{L}$  and then purified using QIAquick columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), from which approximately 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of DNA solution was collected for each sample.

The analysis initially targeted mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), which is present in higher copy numbers than nuclear DNA, making it easier to recover from degraded remains. PCR amplifications were conducted in a Mastercycler Personal (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) in a 30- $\mu\text{L}$  reaction volume containing 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 2.5 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$ , 0.2 mM dNTP, 1.0 mg/mL BSA, 0.3  $\mu\text{M}$  each primer, 3.0  $\mu\text{L}$  DNA sample, and 2.5 U AmpliTaq Gold™ (Life Technologies Corporation). All PCR amplifications were run for 40 cycles at



FIG. 1—Plant matter from inside cranium: consistent with being Poplar leaf, bud scales, and petioles (*Populus balsamifera*) (scale mm).

94°C for 30 sec (denaturing), 52°C for 30 sec (annealing), and 72°C extension for 40 sec, with an initial 12 min denaturing period at 95°C. The samples were amplified using multiple overlapping primer sets (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) designed to amplify fragments of the hypervariable regions (HVI and HVII) of the human mtDNA genome (16). All amplifications (and resulting sequences) from both tooth extracts were repeated at least once. Extraction blanks and PCR negative controls were carried out for each PCR experiment. Five microliters of PCR product was visualized via electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel using SYBR Green™ staining (Life Technologies Corporation). The remainder of the PCR products was purified using MinElute™ purification kits (Qiagen) and sent to MacroGen Inc., Seoul, Korea (www.macrogen.com), for sequencing. The obtained electropherograms were edited, aligned, and compiled using CHROMASPRO software (www.technelysium.com.au). The consensus mtDNA sequence obtained from multiple overlapping PCR amplifications was compared to mtDNA profiles from SFU laboratory personnel, the SWGDAM database, and later to a putative family member. To determine the sex of the individual, the DNA extracts from CC1 and CC2 were also amplified using primers designed to target short fragments of the amelogenin gene on the X and Y chromosomes (17,18).

#### mtDNA Analysis of Putative Relatives

Later in our investigations, a maternal DNA reference from a putative sister was obtained from a buccal swab. The DNA from the living relatives was analyzed only after a complete and replicated mtDNA profile was obtained for the cranium to avoid the possibility of contaminating the “cold case” remains with the modern reference DNA sample (19). The FTA card (Whatman, Maidstone, Kent, UK) underwent two separate DNA extractions (FC1, FC2), in the Modern DNA laboratory at the Centre for Forensic Research at SFU. The FTA card was extracted using the Qiagen QIAamp DNA Investigator Kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. The HVI and HVII regions of the mtDNA control region were targeted using two primer sets (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) (mt1-1 5'-TAAGTCCACCA-TTAGCACCC-3', mt2-2 5'-TGATTTGAGGGAGGATGGTG-3' and mt3-1 5'-CACCTATTAACCACTCACG-3', mt4-2 5'-GCTGGTGTAGGGTCTTTG-3') as described in the study by Katzenberg et al. (20). PCR was run for 40 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 52°C for 1 min, and 72°C extension for 1 min, with an initial 12-min denaturing period at 95°C.

The preliminary mtDNA match observed between the cranium and the maternal reference, and the determination of male sex of the cranium, led to the next step of seeking a paternal DNA reference from the putative father. It was expected that nuclear short tandem repeat (STR) profiling of two samples would provide sufficient discriminatory power to evaluate presumed paternal relationship. The paternal reference (buccal swab) was shipped to Yang’s Laboratory for DNA extraction (FC5) in the Modern DNA Laboratory at the Centre for Forensic Research at SFU, using the methods listed for the maternal reference above.

Aliquots of the DNA extracts from the remains (teeth and occipital bone) and putative relatives (father and sister) were sent to the Forensic DNA Laboratory within the Centre for Forensic & Security Technology Studies (BC Institute of Technology, Burnaby, BC) for mini-STR profiling. All samples were quantified using Applied Biosystems Quantifiler™ (Foster City, CA) and a human DNA quantification kit using an

Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System. The manufacturer’s protocol was followed for all samples except CC1 and CC2, which had 1 µL of sample and 1 µL of distilled water (instead of 2 µL of sample).

Approximately 35 pg of DNA from the occipital bone (CC7) extract were amplified in duplicate using Applied Biosystems AmpF/STR® MiniFiler™ PCR Amplification Kit in a 12.5 µL reaction. Similarly, 200 pg of DNA from each familial sample were amplified. PCR was performed in a Perkin Elmer 2400 thermal cycler (Waltham, MA). Fragment analysis was performed on an Applied Biosystems 310 Genetic Analyzer using GENEMAPPER ID (v3.2). Genetic analysis samples were prepared in deionized formamide (1.5 µL MiniFiler™ PCR product in 25 µL of formamide/GS-500 LIZ). All other conditions were as per the manufacturer’s suggested protocol.

## Results and Discussion

### *Elapsed Time Since Death Based on Taphonomic Indicators*

The cranium was devoid of soft tissue and grease and had acquired discoloration from prolonged contact with the soil. Several areas of the cranium had been gnawed by rodents. According to Klippel and Synsteliën (21), in Tennessee, only those human remains that have been exposed for more than 2 years demonstrate gnaw marks, providing a minimum estimate for elapsed time since death. In a separate forensic case involving a child’s cranium from a similar region of Canada, remnants of nasal cartilage were still preserved after 8 years, although the bones were more severely exfoliated (22). On the basis of these (weak) indicators, a rough estimate of elapsed time since death was judged to be 5–10 years prior to discovery. As the cranium was recovered in 1968, the death was estimated to have occurred between 1958 and 1963.

### *Results of Anthropological Analysis*

The ancestry or sex of the child could not be determined using conventional anthropological techniques because the individual was still very immature at death. There were no discrete traits of the available skeleton or teeth that might suggest First Nations ancestry (e.g., no enamel extensions, the oval window is visible, lambdoidal suture lacks wormian bones) (23).

Personal identification of a child is greatly assisted by an accurate and precise age-at-death determination. In this case, with only a cranium and partial upper dentition preserved, our options for determining age-at-death included (i) dental formation; (ii) skeletal ossification; and (iii) head circumference, with the results of the analyses summarized in Table 1.

**Dental Formation**—A radiographic evaluation of four forming right maxillary permanent teeth using native Canadian dental formation standards (1) yielded an age-at-death estimate of 4.0 years (ranging from 3.19 to 5.45 years; both sexes consid-

TABLE 1—Summary of age-at-death indicators.

Analytical Technique	Age-at-Death (Years)
Stage of permanent tooth formation	3.19–5.45
Stage of deciduous root formation	2.79–4.52
Basioccipital size/fusion	4.6–6 years
Head circumference	>3.0
Average age-at-death	3.53–5.32

ered). Later, the removal of tooth 5-5 from the dental crypt revealed its incomplete root apices, which were judged to be at stage A½. For children of European ancestry, stage A½ for the maxillary second deciduous molar is attained by age  $3.48 \pm 0.69$  years with root completion by  $3.92 \pm 0.60$  years (2). Directly observable root apex formation is typically considered a more precise estimate of dental formation than that obtainable through radiographs alone (24); the formation of this tooth alone indicated an age-at-death from about 3.5–3.9 years.

**Skeletal Ossification**—The basioccipital component of the occipital bone is only partially fused to the rest of the occipital bone, indicating an age less than 5–6 years (25). Its dimensions (breadth = 32.1 mm, length 19.41 mm) indicate an age greater than 4 years, 7 months (26).

**Head Circumference**—The cranial circumference was 495 mm, which was increased to 520 mm to allow for the missing soft tissue (27). Jones (28) provides head circumference measurements for children up to the age of 3 years; according to these criteria, this cranium falls within the 90–95th percentile for children aged 36 months (28). On the basis of the cranial circumference, the age of the child is greater than 3 years.

In sum, dental and skeletal age indicators center upon an age-at-death of 4.4 years (Table 1). For discussion purposes, the age-at-death is assumed to be  $4.4 \pm 1$  year.

#### Results of Botanical Analysis

The interior of the cranium yielded several botanical remains, the most diagnostic of which included four bud scales closely resembling “balsam poplar” or black cottonwood (*Populus balsamifera*) (Fig. 1). On the basis of the number and preservation of the leaf buds found within the cranium, poplar trees were likely growing within the depositional environment (provided that the leaf buds were introduced into the cranium through natural means such as wind or water). However, because of the widespread distribution of the balsam poplar, the botanical analysis could not provide any specific geographic information for the remains. The plant matter suggests that the inside of the neurocranium became accessible for the deposition of plant material from nearby poplar trees in the spring season.

#### Results of Radiocarbon Analysis

This case yielded radiocarbon values for two teeth (see Fig. 2). Tooth 5-5 (deciduous upper second molar) possessed a  $^{14}\text{C}/\text{C}$  concentration of  $1.206 \pm 0.005$  (Fraction modern) indicating that the average of the crown’s enamel formation span occurred between 1959 and 1961.7. As tooth 5-5’s crown may form over a period from 11 weeks prenatal to a range from 6 to 11 months postnatal (22,29–31), a reasonable estimate for the midpoint of crown enamel formation span is 1.5–6.5 months after birth. Conservatively, the  $^{14}\text{C}/\text{C}$  value for the crown’s enamel would correspond with a birth year between 1958 and 1962.

The enamel from tooth 1-5 (permanent upper second premolar) yielded a  $^{14}\text{C}/\text{C}$  concentration of  $1.553 \pm 0.006$  (Fraction modern) indicating an average of enamel formation in 1963. Tooth 1-5 was incomplete at the time of death, indicating that death occurred in or after 1963. Given the age-at-death estimate of  $4.4 \pm 1$  year (see above), the  $^{14}\text{C}$  values for tooth 1-5 are consistent with a year of birth between 1958 and 1960.




FIG. 2—Date of enamel formation based on the  $^{14}\text{C}/\text{C}$  concentration. The solid line indicates the increase in atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}/\text{C}$  caused by above-ground nuclear testing between 1955 and 63, and its subsequent decline (9–11). The vertical axis denotes  $^{14}\text{C}/\text{C}$  concentration using the  $F^{14}\text{C}$  nomenclature (7) designed for reporting bomb-pulse data.

The  $^{14}\text{C}/\text{C}$  concentrations of tooth 5-5 and tooth 1-5 intersect on both the ascending and descending slopes of the bomb-pulse curve. However, the average dates of enamel formation on the descending portion of the curve would be 1984.1–1985.8 for tooth 5-5 and 1968.8–1970.7 for tooth 1-5. In that the deciduous tooth deposits its enamel before its successor, and considering the remains were recovered prior to 1984, it is clear that the later dates based on the descending portion of the bomb-pulse curve should be rejected.

In summary, the  $^{14}\text{C}$  dates in combination with the age-at-death estimate using anthropological techniques suggest that the child represented by the cranium was born between 1958 and 1962 and died between 1963 and 1968.

#### Results of mtDNA and Amelogenin Analysis

The initial analysis focused on the hypervariable regions (HVI and HVII) of the mtDNA control region. More than 16 separate overlapping amplicons were sequenced to produce a consensus profile for the cranium, resulting in a total of 760 bp of control region mtDNA. The obtained profile covered portions of both the HVI (16,017–16,411) and HVII (15–381) regions: A73-G, A263-G, 315.1-C, T16224-C, T16311-C (as compared with the revised Cambridge reference sequence [32]). On the basis of mutations T16224-C and T16311-C, the obtained mtDNA profile can be designated as haplogroup K, a haplogroup primarily found in European populations (33,34). The mtDNA profile has no match among 4839 individuals in SWGDAM database (35), and the four closest sequences (with one base pair difference) are from Caucasian populations.

The authenticity of the obtained sequences was secured by multiple criteria, including (i) the use of dedicated ancient DNA facilities equipped with UV-filtered ventilation, positive airflow, and bench UV lights; (ii) a vigorous decontamination protocol of the bone samples before DNA extraction; (iii) the inclusions of blank extracts and PCR negative controls; (iv) DNA sequences obtained from different tooth/bone extracts, as well as sequences obtained from multiple amplification of the same extract that all yielded the same sequences; (v) the modern reference sequences were processed only after the analysis of the cranium had been completed; and (vi) the cranium’s mtDNA

profile differed from that of DNA laboratory personnel and the forensic anthropologist by at least two base pairs.

In addition to mtDNA analysis, the DNA extracts were also amplified with primers designed to target short fragments of the amelogenin gene on the X and Y chromosomes. While the CC1 DNA extract failed to amplify, both the CC2 and CC7 extracts amplified the Y-chromosome amelogenin fragment, indicating that the cranium belonged to a male individual.

#### Results of Complete Biological Profile

These analyses, which were conducted initially without knowledge of the putative identity or date of disappearance, produced the following biological profile for the cranium: a male, most likely of European ancestry (based on the mtDNA haplogroup K profile), aged  $4.4 \pm 1$  year, born in the interval from 1958 to 1962, and who died between 1963 and 1968. His remains were sufficiently skeletonized that plant matter entered the skull in the spring season.

Correspondence with the authorities indicated that there was a boy of European ancestry who had gone missing (and presumed drowned) in the area of the cranium's discovery in early 1965, aged 4.63 years. On the basis of the correspondences between the cranium and the missing child, a maternal relative was contacted to provide an mtDNA reference for comparison.

#### Comparison of mtDNA with Putative Family References

The two DNA extracts from the maternal relative (FC1 and FC2) both produced the same mtDNA profile as the cranium, amplifying 976 bp of mtDNA from the HVI (15,973–16,569) and HVII (1–381) regions: A73-G, A263-G, 315.1-C, T16224-C, and T16311-C. The maternal reference also displayed a mutation at position T16519-C, a region that could not be amplified from the tooth or occipital DNA extracts. The profiles match at all other polymorphic areas.

In addition to the sibling, a paternal reference was also sought to add further evidence in support of the child's identity through mini-STR analysis. The quantification of the familial reference samples yielded sufficient DNA for STR typing and gave full profiles suitable for comparison (data not shown). The two dental extracts (CC1 and CC2) yielded no quantifiable nuclear DNA; however, the occipital bone sample (CC7) yielded approximately 7 pg/uL of nuclear DNA. An attempt was made to amplify the limited nuclear DNA recovered in this sample in duplicate using AmpF/STR® MiniFiler™ Kit. Mini-STRs have been shown to be effective for amplifying DNA from degraded human remains (36). Previously, an internal validation study conducted at the British Columbia Institute of Technology determined that the optimal DNA input amount for the MiniFiler™ Kit is 200 pg for a reaction volume of 12.5 µL (half of manufacturer's recommended volume). This

validation study also tested a lower limit of DNA input down to 62 pg, which was successfully amplified using a variety of DNA sample types (data not shown). STR typing was approached with extreme caution in this case given the low level (35 pg) of input DNA. During the interpretation phase, it was apparent that the DNA profiles generated for CC7 contained extreme dropout because of stochastic effects (Table 2). Although limited conclusions can be drawn from the STR data, the presence of a Y allele indicated the remains are those of a male. Five loci yielded peak data although some peaks were subthreshold. Of these, two loci (D18S51 and D2S1338) yielded a heterozygote peak pattern in one of two amplification replicates. Both heterozygote loci had an obligatory paternal allele present, consistent with a parent-child relationship although both the deceased and the putative father had alleles 17 and 20 at D2S1338, and therefore, the obligatory allele cannot be determined without the mother's sample. One locus (FGA) lacked an obligatory paternal allele, although there was only a single, subthreshold allele present in one of the replicates consistent with dropout. If one utilizes the four loci in agreement between the child and putative father (omitting FGA), a combined likelihood ratio of 14 is estimated (based on the Caucasian STR allele database) providing moderate support in favor of an identification.

Despite the minimal STR data in support of the identity of the missing child, the biological profile developed through anthropological, radiocarbon analysis, along with the mtDNA profile match with the maternal relative, provided the necessary evidence to secure a legal identification for the remains 41 years after the initial discovery. In October 2009, the identity of the missing child was confirmed as that of the 4-year-old boy who had been presumed drowned in Northern Canada in 1965, and a death certificate was issued by authorities.

#### Combined mtDNA and Radiocarbon Approach

Identification of human remains that have elapsed time since death of more than 25 years poses several challenges. First, the DNA in these "cold case" remains may be severely degraded, and therefore, nuclear DNA may not be present in quantities sufficient for optimal STR analysis, as occurred in the case study presented here. Additionally, even when nuclear DNA is preserved, it may be difficult to locate or obtain a DNA reference sample from closely related individuals that would be suitable for comparison. mtDNA profiles of the HVI and/or HVII regions are increasingly being used as a method of identification for forensic "cold cases." mtDNA is present in higher copy numbers per cell than nuclear DNA, thus increasing the chances of retrieving sufficient quantities of DNA for analysis. Moreover, the maternal inheritance pattern of mtDNA often allows for a greater likelihood of locating and obtaining a suitable reference sample from relatives.

TABLE 2—Mini-STR allele information for the deceased. CC7 extract was amplified in duplicate (CC7-1 and CC7-2).

Sample	D13S317	D7S820	Amel.	D2S1338	D21S11	D16S539	D18S51	CSF1PO	FGA
Cranium (CC7-1)	<u>11</u>	–	–	<u>17,20*</u>	–	–	<u>14</u>	<u>10</u>	(18)
Cranium (CC7-2)	<u>11</u>	–	(X),Y	<u>17</u>	–	–	<u>13,14</u>	<u>(11)</u>	–

\*Paternal reference had alleles 17,20 at loci D2S1338.

– indicates complete allelic dropout.

() indicates subthreshold allele (peak < 100 rfu).

Underlined allele indicates obligatory paternal allele present.

However, mitochondrial profiles alone cannot usually provide definitive identification, especially if the mtDNA haplotype is a common one within a particular population. If mtDNA profiles are to be utilized for personal identification in forensic contexts, then it is crucial to know the frequencies of different mtDNA profiles within the populations (37). In mtDNA analyses of British (38), Croatian (39), and Nairobi (40) populations, the presence of common mtDNA haplotypes has ranged from 5 to 17%, obviously limiting the discriminatory power of certain haplotypes for personal identification. Additionally, less common issues such as heteroplasmy or point mutations may also complicate identifications (34).

While whole mitochondrial genome analysis using single-nucleotide polymorphisms may provide additional discriminatory power (41), this type of technique may be costly and time consuming. Furthermore, even whole mtDNA genomes may not separate maternal relatives in forensic context such as mass fatalities where siblings or maternal cousins are present within the same death assemblage.

The combination of mtDNA profiles and radiocarbon analysis, however, can provide a robust personal identification for those forensic cold cases dating to within the last half century. Because the first permanent molar crown takes less than 4 years to form starting at or shortly after birth (42), radiocarbon analysis of this tooth alone can provide an accurate and reasonably precise interval of birth for individuals born after 1955 (4). By dating the enamel of the third permanent molar (the last crown to form, in the interval between 9 and 12 years of age [42]), the interval of birth may be extrapolated for individuals born from 1943 (4). With an average absolute error of 1.6 years, the radiocarbon method can provide a much more accurate and precise year of birth than does traditional anthropological analysis of the skeleton, especially for skeletally mature individuals (4,43,44). A precise interval of birth can provide strong corroborative evidence for a personal identification, as well as significantly narrowing down the list of missing persons where there is no presumptive identification. A combined mtDNA profile match and year of birth match can provide the evidence necessary for a "cold case" legal identification, even if the mtDNA haplotype is a relatively common one.

In forensic cases where teeth are unavailable, the radiocarbon analysis of bone also can provide useful information concerning the time of death. Unlike tooth enamel, however, bone remodels throughout life, and therefore, radiocarbon values of bone collagen will provide average values for bone formation and remodeling and may lag behind the actual date of death. Nevertheless, radiocarbon analysis of bone may be useful for determining whether the time of death occurred prior to 1955 or afterward (45). Additionally, a comparison of a radiocarbon value from slower remodeling cortical bone and more rapidly remodeling trabecular bone may be useful for determining whether the bomb-carbon value falls before or after the peak of bomb-carbon in 1963 (46). Bone collagen radiocarbon analysis will be most useful in newborns and children whose tissues are forming rapidly and thus more accurately reflect the bomb-carbon values during deposition (46). Radiocarbon analysis of tooth enamel provides more precise information and is preferable to bone when available.

In mass graves or mass fatality contexts, a combined DNA and radiocarbon analysis approach provides the additional benefit of distinguishing between maternal relations. When DNA matches are based on matches with relatives (rather than known samples of the victim's own DNA), distinguishing between

childless siblings in commingled mass graves can be impossible, even when STR profiles can be generated (47). Although the siblings will have different STR profiles, they cannot be distinguished based on parental DNA references alone. If the siblings are of the same sex and closely related in age, anthropological age-at-death techniques may not be precise enough to discriminate between them, such as in the case of many adolescent brothers killed in the Srebrenica event in Bosnia and Herzegovina (47). Radiocarbon analysis of tooth enamel, in combination with STR and/or mtDNA analysis, has the potential to distinguish between siblings even 1 year apart in age, provided their birth year occurred after 1943.

While the particular anthropological, genetic, and radiocarbon techniques discussed previously have been applied separately in the past, this case study highlights the enormous potential of these combined techniques for "cold case" identifications and other challenging forensic contexts dating to the last half century.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Amy Mundorff for drawing our attention to Dr. Spalding's research, Grace Zhang Hua and Marina Elliott for anthropological assistance, and Krista McGrath for laboratory assistance. Work was performed in part under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

#### References

1. Trodden BJ. A radiographic study of the calcification and eruption of the permanent teeth in Inuit and Indian children. *Archaeological Survey of Canada Paper 112*. Ottawa, Canada: National Museums of Canada, 1982.
2. Liversidge HM, Molleson T. Variation in crown and root formation and eruption of human deciduous teeth. *Amer J Phys Anthropol* 2004;123(2):172–80.
3. Buchholz BA, Spalding KL. Year of birth determination using radiocarbon dating of dental enamel. *Surf Interface Anal* 2010;42(5):398–401.
4. Spalding KL, Buchholz BA, Bergman L-E, Druid H, Frisén J. Age written in teeth by nuclear tests. *Nature* 2005;437(7057):333–4.
5. Alkass K, Buchholz BA, Ohtani S, Yamamoto T, Druid H, Spalding KL. Age estimation in forensic sciences: application of combined aspartic acid racemization and radiocarbon analysis. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 2009;9(5):1022–30.
6. Cook GT, Dunbar E, Black SM, Xu S. A preliminary assessment of age at death determination using the nuclear weapons testing  $^{14}\text{C}$  activity of dentine and enamel. *Radiocarbon* 2006;48(3):305–13.
7. Hua Q, Barbetti M. Review of tropospheric bomb  $^{14}\text{C}$  data for carbon cycle modeling and age calibration purposes. *Radiocarbon* 2004;46(3):1273–98.
8. Reimer PJ, Brown TA, Reimer RW. Discussion: reporting and calibration of post-bomb  $^{14}\text{C}$  data. *Radiocarbon* 2004;46(3):1299–304.
9. Levin I, Hammer S, Kromer B, Meinhardt F. Radiocarbon observations in atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ : determining fossil fuel  $\text{CO}_2$  over Europe using Jungfraujoch observations as background. *Sci Total Environ* 2008;391:211–6.
10. Levin I, Kromer B. The tropospheric  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  level in mid-latitudes of the northern hemisphere (1959–2003). *Radiocarbon* 2004;46(3):1261–72.
11. Levin I, Naegler T, Kromer B, Diehl M, Francey RJ, Gomez-Pelaez AJ, et al. Observations and modelling of the global distribution and long-term trend of atmospheric  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ . *Tellus B* 2010;62(1):26–46.
12. Yang DY, Eng B, Waye JS, Dudar JC, Saunders SR. Improved DNA extraction from ancient bones using silica-based spin columns. *Amer J Phys Anthropol* 1998;105:539–43.
13. Kemp BM, Smith DG. Use of bleach to eliminate contaminating DNA from the surface of bones and teeth. *Forensic Sci Int* 2005;1:53–61.
14. Watt K. Decontamination techniques in ancient DNA analysis [MA Thesis]. Burnaby (BC): Simon Fraser University, 2005.

15. Malmström H, Svensson EM, Gilbert MTP, Willerslev E, Götherström A, Holmlund G. More on contamination: the use of asymmetric molecular behavior to identify authentic ancient human DNA. *Mol Biol Evol* 2007;24(4):998–1004.
16. Gabriel MN, Huffine EF, Ryan JH, Holland MM, Parsons TJ. Improved mtDNA sequence analysis of forensic remains using a “mini-primer set” amplification strategy. *J Forensic Sci* 2001;46(2):247–53.
17. Dudar JC, Wayne JS, Saunders SR. Determination of a kinship system using ancient DNA, mortuary practice, and historic records in an upper Canadian pioneer cemetery. *Int J Osteoarchaeol* 2003;13(4):232–46.
18. Yang DY, Eng B, Wayne JS, Dudar JC, Saunders SR. A new strategy for DNA sex determination from ancient human skeletons. *Amer J Phys Anthropol* 1998;26(Suppl.):236.
19. Wilson MR, Dizinno JA, Polansky D, Replogle J, Budowle B. Validation of mitochondrial-DNA sequencing for forensic casework analysis. *Int J Legal Med* 1995;108(2):68–74.
20. Katzenberg MA, Oetelaar G, Oetelaar J, Fitzgerald C, Yang D, Saunders SR. Identification of historical human skeletal remains: a case study using skeletal and dental age, history and DNA. *Int J Osteoarchaeol* 2005;15(1):61–72.
21. Klippel WE, Synstelién JA. Rodents as taphonomic agents: bone gnawing by brown rats and gray squirrels. *J Forensic Sci* 2007;52(4):765–73.
22. Skinner MF, Anderson GS. Individualization and enamel histology: a case report in forensic anthropology. *J Forensic Sci* 1991;36(3):939–48.
23. Scott GR, Turner CG. *The anthropology of modern human teeth*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
24. Reid DJ, Schwartz GT, Dean C, Chandrasekera MSS. A histological reconstruction of dental development in the common chimpanzee, *Pan troglodytes*. *J Hum Evol* 1998;5:427–48.
25. Stewart TD. *Essentials of forensic anthropology*. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas, 1979.
26. Scheur L, Black S. *Developmental juvenile osteology*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 2000.
27. Işcan MY, Helmer RP, editors. *Forensic analysis of the skull: craniofacial analysis, reconstruction, and identification*. New York, NY: Wiley-Liss, 1993.
28. Jones KL. *Smith’s recognizable patterns of human malformation*, 6th edn. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier, 2006.
29. Kraus BS, Jordan RE. *The human dentition before birth*. Philadelphia, PA: Lea and Febiger, 1965.
30. Lunt RC, Law DB. A review of the chronology of calcification of deciduous teeth. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1974;89(3):599–606.
31. Mahoney P. Human deciduous mandibular molar incremental enamel development. *Amer J Phys Anthropol* 2011;144(2):204–14.
32. Andrews RM, Kubacka I, Chinnery PF, Lightowlers RN, Turnbull DM, Howell N. Reanalysis and revision of the Cambridge reference sequence for human mitochondrial DNA. *Nat Genet* 1999;23(2):147.
33. van Oven M, Kayser M. Updated comprehensive phylogenetic tree of global human mitochondrial DNA variation. *Hum Mutat* 2008;1039(29):E386–94.
34. Butler JM. *Forensic DNA typing, biology, technology, and genetics of STR markers*. New York, NY: Elsevier Academic Press, 2005.
35. Monson KL, Miller KWP, Wilson MR, DiZinno JA, Budowle B. The mtDNA population database: an integrated software and database resource for forensic comparison. *Forensic Sci Comm* 2002;4(2), <http://www.fbi.gov/hq/lab/fsc/backissu/april2002/miller1.htm> (accessed March 15, 2009).
36. Mulero JJ, Chang CW, Calandro LM, Green RL, Li Y, Johnson CL, et al. Development and validation of the AmpF/STR® Yfiler™ PCR amplification kit: a male specific, single amplification 17 Y-STR multiplex system. *J Forensic Sci* 2006;51(1):64–75.
37. Crespillo M, Luque JA, Paredes M, Fernández R, Ramírez E, Valverde JL. Mitochondrial DNA sequences for 118 individuals from northeastern Spain. *Int J Legal Med* 2000;114(1):130–2.
38. Piercy R, Sullivan KM, Benson N, Gill P. The application of mitochondrial DNA typing to the study of white Caucasian genetic identification. *Int J Legal Med* 1993;106(2):85–90.
39. Gabriel MN, Calloway CD, Reynolds RL, Andelinovic S, Primorac D. Population variation of human mitochondrial DNA hypervariable regions I and II in 105 Croatian individuals demonstrated by immobilized sequence-specific oligonucleotide probe analysis. *Croat Med J* 2001;42(3):328–35.
40. Brandstätter A, Peterson CT, Irwin JA, Mpoke S, Koech DK, Parson W, et al. Mitochondrial DNA control region sequences from Nairobi (Kenya): inferring phylogenetic parameters for the establishment of a forensic database. *Int J Legal Med* 2004;118(5):294–306.
41. Parsons T, Coble MD. Increasing the forensic discrimination of mitochondrial DNA testing through analysis of the entire mitochondrial DNA genome. *Croat Med J* 2001;42(3):304–9.
42. Skinner MF, Goodman A. Anthropological uses of developmental defects of enamel. In: Saunders SR, Katzenberg A, editors. *Skeletal biology of past peoples: research methods*. New York, NY: Wiley-Liss, 1992;153–74.
43. Krogman WM, Işcan MY. *The human skeleton in forensic medicine*, 2nd edn. Springfield, IL: C.C. Thomas, 1986.
44. Alkass K, Buchholz BA, Druid H, Spalding KL. Analysis of <sup>14</sup>C and <sup>13</sup>C in teeth provides precise birth dating and clues to geographical origin. *Forensic Sci Int* 2011;3:34–41.
45. Ubelaker DH, Buchholz BA. Complexities in the use of bomb-curve radiocarbon to determine time since death of human skeletal remains. *Forensic Sci Comm* 2006;8(2), [http://www.fbi.gov/about-us/lab/forensic-science-communications/fsc/jan2006/research/\\_01\\_research01.htm](http://www.fbi.gov/about-us/lab/forensic-science-communications/fsc/jan2006/research/_01_research01.htm) (accessed March 28, 2011).
46. Ubelaker DH, Buchholz BA, Stewart JEB. Analysis of artificial radiocarbon in different skeletal and dental tissue types to evaluate date of death. *J Forensic Sci* 2006;51(3):484–8.
47. Yazedjian L, Kesetovic R. The application of traditional anthropological methods in a DNA-led identification process. In: Adams BJ, Byrd JE, editors. *Recovery, analysis, and identification of commingled human remains*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag, 2008;271–85.

Additional information—reprints not available from author  
 Mark Skinner, Ph.D.  
 Department of Archaeology  
 Simon Fraser University  
 8888 University Drive  
 Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6  
 E-mail: mskinner@sfu.ca